



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.				
10/761,634	01/21/2004	Raghavan Rajagopalan	MRD / 69DV	3347				
<div>7590 04/05/2007</div> <div>WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, L.L.P.</div> <div>2700 Carew Tower</div> <div>441 Vine St.</div> <div>Cincinnati, OH 45202</div>								
<div>EXAMINER</div> <div>SAUNDERS, DAVID A</div>								
<table border="1"><thead><tr><th>ART UNIT</th><th>PAPER NUMBER</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>1644</td><td></td></tr></tbody></table>					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1644	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER							
1644								
<table border="1"><thead><tr><th>MAIL DATE</th><th>DELIVERY MODE</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>04/05/2007</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></tbody></table>					MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	04/05/2007	PAPER
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE							
04/05/2007	PAPER							

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

10/761,634

RAJAGOPALAN

EXAMINER

D. SAUNDERS

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1644

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DAVID SAUNDERS (3) _____
(2) BEVERLY LYMAN (4) _____

Date of Interview 4/4/97

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2, 17

Identification of prior art discussed: BALLOU et al, GOLDENBERG et al
RAJAGOPALAN

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: APPLICANT TO
SUBMIT AMENDMENT TO LIMIT THE "LIGAND"/"INTERNAL
IMAGE AB" OF CLAIMS 1/17 TO ONE THAT BINDS ST
RECEPTOR. THIS AMENDMENT WOULD OVERCOME PRIOR
ART AND 112, 1ST REJECTIONS OF RECORD.
APPLICANT TO CONSIDER WHETHER MARKUSH GROUP
OF CLAIM 2 REQUIRES AMENDMENT, IN LIGHT
OF THE NARROWING OF THE SCOPE OF CLAIM 1.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

David A. Saunders

DAVID A. SAUNDERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER